

EPA-600/1-77-010 February 1977

Environmental Health Effects Research Series





Under okcilooltin and beologieal/terioeis 10 nice-oralisterren and/Dovelonments 2 UEST EnvironmentE2 obtektion-Archev 2 Washington-DDDD zur 60.

### **RESEARCH REPORTING SERIES**

Research reports of the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, have been grouped into nine series. These nine broad categories were established to facilitate further development and application of environmental technology. Elimination of traditional grouping was consciously planned to foster technology transfer and a maximum interface in related fields. The nine series are:

- 1. Environmental Health Effects Research
- 2. Environmental Protection Technology
- 3. Ecological Research
- 4. Environmental Monitoring
- 5. Socioeconomic Environmental Studies
- 6. Scientific and Technical Assessment Reports (STAR)
- 7. Interagency Energy-Environment Research and Development
- 8. "Special" Reports
- 9. Miscellaneous Reports

This report has been assigned to the ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH EFFECTS RE-SEARCH series. This series describes projects and studies relating to the tolerances of man for unhealthful substances or conditions. This work is generally assessed from a medical viewpoint, including physiological or psychological studies. In addition to toxicology and other medical specialities, study areas include biomedical instrumentation and health research techniques utilizing animals — but always with intended application to human health measures.

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

EPA-600/1-77-010 February 1977

# MEASURES OF NOISE LEVEL: THEIR RELATIVE ACCURACY IN PREDICTING OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE RESPONSES TO NOISE DURING SLEEP

bу

# Jerome S. Lukas

Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, California 94025

Contract No. 68-01-3120

Project Officer

George R. Simon Health Effects Division Office of Health and Ecological Effects Washington, D. C. 20460

OFFICE OF HEALTH AND ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

### DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Health Effects Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessairly reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation of use.

### ARSTRACT

A review of domestic and foreign scientific literature on the effects of noise on human sleep indicates that no sleep disruption can be predicted with good accuracy (correlation coefficients of about 0.80) if the noise descriptor accounts for the frequency-weighted spectrum and the duration of the noise. Units such as EdBA, EPNdB, and SENEL are better predictors than a unit such as maximum dBA. Furthermore, no sleep disruption can be predicted more accurately than arousal or behavioral awakening responses.

Some evidence suggests that questionnaires about subjective sleep quality should contain items dealing with the subject's (a) sense of well being on arising, (b) sense of the general quality of his sleep, and (c) estimates of how long it took to fall asleep. Scores on these items can be summed to develon a Composite Sleep Quality measure. Although the amount of evidence is limited, such Composite Sleep Quality is correlated highly (about 0.90) with Composite Noise Rating (CNR) when units of EPNdB or EdBA are used to calculate CNR. Other techniques for calculating the total nighttime noise environment, such as  $L_{eq}$  and NNI, have some shortcomings with respect to their ability to predict Composite Sleep Quality.

# CONTENTS

Abstract	111
LIST OF Illustrations	vi
I INTRODUCTION	1
II REPORTS REVIEWED	3
III RESULTS	9
Factors Related to Noise Sensitivity,	9 13 20
IV CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	29
BIBLIOGRAPHY	31

v

1

ないからなるにないでは、「ないたのである」

£

# ILLUSTRATIONS

1	Frequency of No Sleep Disruption at Various Noise Levels in College and Middle Aged Men and Women	20
2	Frequency of Arousal or Awakening from Sleep in College and Middle Aged Men and Women by Noise at Various Intensities	21
3	Relative Subjective Disturbance of Sleep at Various Total Nighttime Noise Levels Calculated in Units of CNR	23
4	Relative Subjective Disturbance of Sleep at Various Total Nighttime Noise Levels Calculated in Units of L <sub>eq</sub>	24
5	Relativ <sup>_</sup> Subjective Disturbance of Sleep at Various Total Nighttime Noise Levels Calculated in Units of NNI	25

### TABLES

1	Design Characteristics of Noise Studies Providing	
	Data for this Report	5
2	Coefficients of Correlation between Responses to Noise	
	during Sleep and Selected Measures of Noise Intensity	16

#### **I** INTRODUCTION

Sleep disturbance by noise is a common complaint. Despite the prevalence of complaints, however, sleep investigators are not sure what the implications of the complaints are with respect to physiological or psychological health. On one hand, the degree of actual (measurable) sleep disturbance is of minor significance when compared with the effects of much higher noise levels or other stresses experienced daily at work and at home. On the other hand, perhaps sleep disturbance is of major significance if a person feels his sleep has been disturbed severely and, as a consequence, feels lethargic, nervous, and unable to perform or work at his usual level of efficiency. Our inability to provide conclusive answers to the physiological and psychological implications of sleep disturbance stems in part from the fact that investigators have not been able to define and demonstrate the function, or functions, of sleep, and in part from the fact that investigators have neither described the physical characteristics of the stimuli uniformly nor used the same response measures.

Consonant with this analysis, Lukas<sup>1</sup> recently proposed a rationale for and recommended use of a single measure of significant sleep disturbance (a change of the electroencephalographic pattern to at least one "shallower" sleep stage or <u>No Sleep Disruption</u>) and also recommended a metric (in units of EdBA or EPNdB) to describe the physical characteristics of noise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>J. S. Lukas, "Sleep and Noise: A literature Review and a Proposed Criterion for Assessing Effect," <u>J. Acoustical Soc. Amer.</u> (December 1975), in press. This monograph provides a review of the experimental literature and may be considered a part of this report.

This report is a review of most of the recent experimental sleep and noise literature.<sup>2</sup> It provides some additional points to the earlier scatter plot of the frequency of No Sleep Disruption at various noise levels.<sup>1</sup> In addition, we have developed a tentative composite measure of subjective sleep quality and, in so far as the data permit, show its relationship to composite measures of the nighttime noise environment.

<sup>2</sup> Several reports, particularly those from Eastern European mations, were requested but not received.

#### II REPORTS REVIEWED

A list of all the papers reviewed is provided in the Bibliography. Those not included or referenced in the body of this report have been omitted for one or several of the following reasons: (a) The papers inadequately (for our purposes) describe the physical characteristics of the stimuli--telephones, bagpipes, doorbells, Chinese  $gong^3$ --or names spoken forward and backward at approximately the same intensity;<sup>4,5</sup> (b) the papers present uncommon techniques for scoring the electroencephalographic (EEG) response to stimuli;<sup>6,7,8</sup> (c) the studies confine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> W. P. Wilson and W.W.K. Zung, "Attention, Discrimination, and Arousal During Sleep," <u>Arch. gen, Psychiat.</u>, Vol. 15, pp. 523-528 (1966).

I. Oswald, A. M. Taylor, and M. Treismann, "Discriminative Responses to Stimulation During Sleep," <u>Brain</u>, Vol. 83, pp. 440-453 (1960).
 <sup>5</sup> G. W. Langford, R. Meddis, and A.J.D. Pearson, "Awakening Latency from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>G. W. Langford, R. Meddis, and A.J.D. Pearson, "Awakening Latency from Sleep for Meaningful and Non-Meaningful Stimuli," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 11, pp. 1-5 (1974).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> T. E. LeVere et al., "Arousal from Sleep: The Differential Effect of Frequencies Equated for Loudness," <u>Physiology and Behavior</u>, Vol. 12, pp. 573-582 (1974).

<sup>7</sup>B. Metz and A. Muzet, "Effets propres et interaction de l'elévation du niveau sonore et de la temperature ambiante sur le sommeil," <u>Centre</u> <u>D'Études Bioclimatiques du CNRS</u>, Strasbourg, France (April 1975).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>B</sup>Y. Osada et al., "Sleep Impairment Caused by Short Time Exposure to Continuous and Intermittent Noise," <u>Bull. Inst. Publ. Health</u>, Vol. 18, pp. 1-9 (1969).

stimulation to only certain sleep stages;<sup>9,10</sup> and (d) the papers are reviews,<sup>11,12,13</sup>

The research studies reviewed in detail, as well as a summary of their major design characteristics, are listed in Table 1. Table 1, particularly the Stimulus Type and PNL (perceived noise level) columns, reveals the diversity of types and levels of stimuli studied in various laboratories. Note, however, that about 75 percent of the test stimuli were transportation noises, and 76 percent of these were from sub- or supersonic jet aircraft. Therefore, it is reasonable to exercise some caution in generalizing the results to situations other than transportation noise; to suggest however, the data presented in this report indicate that similar results were obtained when nontransportation noises were studied. For example, such stimuli as bursts of pink, shaped white noise, and pure tones produced results consistent with those obtained when transportation noises were the test stimuli.

PH. Firth, "Habituation during Sleep," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 10, pp. 43-51 (1973).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> F. B. Keefe, L. C. Johnson, and E. J. Hunter, "EEG and Autonomic Response Pattern During Waking and Sleep Stages," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 8, pp. 198-212 (1971).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> M. E. Dobbs, "Behavioral Responses to Auditory Stimulation during Sleep," <u>J. Sound Vibration</u>, Vol. 20, pp. 467-476 (1972).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> H. L. Williams, "Effects of Noise on Sleep: A Review," in <u>Proceedings</u> <u>International Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem, W. D. Ward,</u> ed., pp. 501-511 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> J. D. Miller, "Effects of Noise on People," pp. 58-78 (U.S. EPA No. NTID 300.7, 1971).

C040				şı (	ulus (bara)	Errantise					N-0-1-1-1-		
Used in Figures	Study	Type	464 - Hay	rna)	(serends)	Humber ) per Night	CNP	161	1497.5	Analor And Sex	А <u>н</u> т ( урах в )	Response Nessuers	bates
•	Anonymous (Jap- Anose Research Group on the Effect of Hoise) (1971)	Jet därcraft nasse	45.0 75,0 85,0	48.8 58,8 108,8	10,3	Abuut 34 16 Tabdom Oblet	123,8	50,5	e),a	ji adire	Culluge war 12)	thi, neveral physiological and paycho+ logical	I baseline night, joliuwed by 2 of 3 nights with noise. Nu indication of hareground outse level.
×	Cultine and Lampletrs (1973)	Eimulated Sonic Dooms	6 <b>1</b> ,()	19.0	0,744	8 1) Novely Inter- valu	8 <b>8</b> ,0	12.5	35.7	25 males	8 v4ch, 21-26, 48+45, 68+73	EEG, asher phymrological, mobjective, performance	23 Consecutive nighin; nights 3-5 serv taseline, b-37 were test nights, 38-21 were recovery nights. Estimated back- ground-sals dbA.
	Globus et al."	Jos atresalt, maar or far	77.0	101.0	H,D	48,17"	tia,≇	49,0	58.5	h couples	45, average	EEG, subjet-	hu elway indication of background
	(1973)	tion altholl	(mean) 57.0 (mean)	B1,0	8,0	17.0	<b>50.3</b>	19.5	40.4	5 couples	~*>	(ive	level; however, 4 subsequent report by Pestauna of als, suggests 60 dBA.
•	Herbert and Wilkinaon (1973)	Pairs of clicks, to be- tweem clicks (1800 Hg) <sup>4</sup>	65,0 73,0 80,0 90,0	#3,U 93,0 98,0 98,0	See fout- hote b	1310 in rendom orde	1 131.5	ħ¥.ŧ	4h.3	10 miles	j# to 15	EEC, pes- fotmince	l night of noise, 2 control hights. Average interval between click pairs = 2 s.
	Johnson at al. (1971)	Tons-Like "pings,"23 e hetween pings (3500 Ke)	\$2.0 87.0 97.0	43.0 47.1 102.7	0.46	1113, finad Entarva	121.1 L 127.6 L12.7	58.5 62.8 67,9	66.4 71.4 76,4	20 metro	l# (o J]	LLG, notur, physialogical, performance, psychological	35 cunseculive sights, with noise during the middle 30 nights, 10 nights at each level, holse on for 24 hours daily.
•	Kremer'st al. (1971)	Shaped while noise	ü. <b>d</b> .	105.5	Contin-	An average of 1	117.5	36.0		2 males	н	LEG, poycha- logival	15 consecutive nights. Reckground naise - 42 dBA.
×		\$[mu]ated sonic baam	a.a.	106,5 To stage shift	0.2		111.5 612.m	60,0 34,3 647		3 mates 7 mates	50 (  atypical subject encluded) Jo	prt fotbance	increased noises until subjects ausboned at changed sleep staget white naise increased I dB/6 s, impulse in- creased IQ dB/6tm (L presentation),
•	Ludios at al., 2 studies (1972)	Similared sonic boom Morgan and Rice	44.1   49.7   11.1	77.5 80.5 83.4	m0.5	llonight, fined an- Lensity, random Intervalm	6,48 C.98 1,19	13,7 16,7 20,1	17.5 18.0 18.9	ti mairo	11 to 30	Behavioral avalening,	) edaptation nights followed by 3 test and 1 control nights (7 consecutive alghis in tatal), distributed noise and control nights.
0		Ludlow and Morgan	( 62.5 72.5   38.0	15,3 83,3 90,8		i Bolght, fiked to- Tensity, random Intervals	87,5 92,3 97,8	#.8  #,6 26,9	17.2 } 34.8   41.6	8 miles	2( to 30	quality	4 adaptation, 6 notas, and 2 control nights (14 consecutive hights in total), distributed notas and control nights, designmend notae m32 dBA. <sup>9</sup>
	Lukan et al. {1970}	Simulated ponts books	64.0 71.5 71.0 74.0	78.0 83.5 89.0 110.8	0.28	20 mlaht 12/night	1130,8 130,8 116.6,122.6, 128.6		50.8 48.8	2 femalus 2 malus	7 and 8 4] and 54	ELG, beliav- iufal sweien- ing	4 or 5 accommodation mights followed by 14 test nights, sonconsecutive, 2 nights/web; the 3 stimulus types branched at a size intensity on
		Jet flyøver neise	74,0   80,0	114.8	5.0	e relatit	113.6,119.6, 1175.6		43.8	2	69 and 73		any sight; level generally increased in stope over first 6 mights. Back- ground mejes w15 dBa.

-----

.....

·

Table 1 DESIGN CHARACTREISTICS OF BOLSE STUDIES PROVIDING DATA THE DEFORT

· ···· . . ·

, ... f

М	lukas et al. (1971)	S(Buildted son); boome	68,0 13.3 19.0 44.0	16.0 } 83.5 } 89.0 }	A.20 0,29	Davally )b∕night, twise at each level,	105.9	)].¥	41.0	2 melve and formalow 4 melve	5 to 8 45 to 57	EEC, behave Sotal awaken- ing, aukjec- tive quality	27 nonconsecutive nights, usually 2 nights per week (6 or 7 accommodation nights, followed by 30 noise-test nights), Sathground noise-m32 dBA,
Ø		Jet flyover naise	41.0 49.0 75.0 81.0	15.0 91.0 97.0 101.0	4,0	sequenco and levoi ai random	)			4 neles	4V ta 75		
<b>8</b> 0	lukas et al. (1972)	Similated sonic bound Jat Elyuvar noise	68.0 J9,0 84.0 68.0 80.0 86.0	18.0   85.0   90.0   107.0   108.0	a, 30 4.0	Average of 10/night, twice at each lavel, random[sed	108,2	35,6	5L/9	d (ras)es	79 to 49	EIG, behav- loral evakon- ing, subjec- tive quality	l4 consecutive nights and 3 accom- modation nights; of the 14, sights 1, 2, 9, 10, and 14 wars contral nights and the remainder wars test nights. Backgrownd miss w32 dba.
0 0 •	Lukas et ej. (1973)	Diff on Freetod Hacelles Honding Unitested Hecelles Pink noise butet	40.4 78.4 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9	84,4 102,4 85,3 103,0 71,8 92,0	LQ,5 9,0 7.5 7,5 3,3 3,5	Average of 9/Alght, Twijd at each level, randnwiged	01.0	34,4	50, 3	4 males	46 Eo 58	SEG, bahav- lutal avakan- ing	The same as in Lukes (1973).
12 12 12	Lubas et s]. (1973)	Blown-flap 570L stårijne halse Blown-flap 570L takaoff noise Turban fan 670L sidaline moise Fisk noise burst	64.8 78.0 473.0 473.0 81.0 57.8 49.0 75.5 59.1 71.1 77.1	92.3 104.3 110.3 110.3 102.4 102.4 102.4 102.4 102.4 102.4 102.4 102.5 100.5 1	25,5 9,3 24,4 3,1	An average of 31/ sight, 2 at asch in- tenatie olghtis, in landum ofder	115.0	43,9 ,	34, b	U maigs	33 10 38	EEG, behau- iorai awaben- ing	[A conservitive nights, Mighim 1, 2, 3, 4, 11, 12, and 16 were necommoda- tion and control hights; the resultant were test nights. Average background noter 033 dBs.
	Helz and Muset (1975)	Bhaped white naise as simulated trusk possage	80.0 43.0	104.1 101.1 101.1	8.0 (acc notes) 4.0 6.0 4.0	89 80 80 60	136,9 11.1	33.L 10.1	66.0 53.0 71.0 68.0	10 ma]cù	19 ta 27	EEG, subjec- tive, ECC, envenants, temperature	Prioraviat polices of noise rising and foling at 2,5 dSr ar 3 dSr; 3 groups; ion noise and low trepera- ture, high noise and low treperature, and low noise and high treperature; 2 quiet nights, 2 noise nights, 1 quiet night, Schground noise 3 dBA.
	Honst at al. (1973)	Bludy by Schnulder (1973)											
	Hurat (1974)	A TAVIAW OF research esthody and gows of the data of Schnwider (1973) and Echimber et al. (1998).									··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Gilwier-Martin Study by Schnulder (1973) (Schneider) et al. (1972)

\* . Mights with impulse and continuous, 19-1.

-

		Automubije traffis nase	60.0 # .0	101,44 Lút,f	4,8 exti- mated	₽ B lade nutry;	13(*8	64.6	70,0 68,2	9 maluk	Young dults	EKC, IMG heati sate	H = 4.3 fewFragal noise/win, traffic noise between 1008 and 2400 [B = 3.4 (arriaged noise/shin, shiam between 2400 and Gub0; during 8-hours test night an hour at heave traffic (N) elsemated with an hour of light traf- fic (B) order of heavy and light country helacest; noise and is contrul night. Backgrowd noise af a dBA.
•	Schneider (1973)	des takeuff (90 m) (30 m) (90 m) (90 m) (10 m)	)7,0 20,0 42,0 96,0	109,5 301,0 118,0 119,5	22.0 2.0 10.0 k.0	32 in randsm strävr	128.4	5 <b>8.</b> .h	41,7	4 malan 9 (cma)es	l4 (a 24 19 14 23	Subjectiva gualicy, EBG, performance	l alght of aples between 2 contrals. Factor enelysis of subjective Pe- sponess. Reckycound naise = 35 dBA (15).
	SCOSE (1972)	Continuuus shire naise	43,0	(52.5	8 heruta	Cont (num	150,5		91.0	8 mj leg	ļš ra 20	ttä	I consecutive nights, 5 in quist and the stable 3 nights in noise. A con- trol group stopt during an opposita sequence of sights, but insufficient data toportied to incude here. Macb- ground (quist) más alla.
•	Thisson (1970)	Trøctnettralier (møtar freighet	40.0 43.0 50.0 55.0 40.0 83.0 70.0 75.0	63,5 68,7 73,7 78,7 83,7 93,7 93,7 93,7	)  }	7 during first 6.5 looses of sligp, pre- sumbbly at eandow in the and order	48.4	24,9	43,0	12 meter	frimefily sollege Age	tfc	Au Indication of mumber of Aights per subject, Spaceculve of Bonconsecutive rights, Background maler = 33 dBA,
٠	2(magaso (1970)	400 He Sune	64.3 pr# 1	1,84	1.0	Gunerality & Evans 5' nigist	16.7	-4.1	J6.7	12 mates (*)	Nean 21.3	Verbal audianing thiyahold	Tone on for 1 \$ and off for 8 s, at each stop intensity increased 3 dB, testus after 1.3 hours of unfater- rupted sleep. No indication of back- ground moles level.

"Averaged swatall elegant stages and "Light and loop" sleeping groups. Litercelved moter level in units of Ethick catculated using duretion between 10-ub downputnic as the effective duration and using 0.3 s as reference duration. Units of Edha can be estimated by subtracting 13 from the

~4

····· .

ł

r

С, 

13

.

Provide mains of EFAH converted by advances to a bound of the second by a seco

.

Code				Et 1º	ulus Charac	eristics					Subjec]1		
Dand in					Ditetion	Number			140 3	Number	Age	Response	
<u>fikutek</u>	itedr	Tree	483-Hea	!!!!!	(percond g)	per Hight	<u></u>	8817	1.1	and Sex	(years)	Neesures	Bolga
	Oşada et al. (1968)	White poler Traffice noise Factory neise	40.0 40.0 \$3.0 40.0 55.0	98.0 #7.0  02.0 #7.0  02.0	hùise an rontinu+ exely fer é houra	L 6-hour partiod mach night, no data re- garding fluctuations	96.0 83.0 100.0 83.0 (83.0		40.0 40.0 15.1) 40.0 55.0	5 maies	Studença	KEG, ECG, C.S.R., blo- chunica: (blood, utine)	Apparantly each subject spont 6 night in 146+-the first night was a cun- tenland one night in each of the other moise environments. Background noise mold dBA (from 1975 paper).
	Oenda st 4]. (1969)	Unite continuous Unite puised 1/3 occase at Continuous 1/3 Ka Eviland 1/3 occase at Continuous 2150 Ms Eviland	40, 60 40, 40 40, 60 40, 60 40, 60	#7.8 [07.8 77.6 97.6 55.2 76.7 55.9 75.9 75.9 75.9 75.9 75.9	Consinu- ows noise • 2.5 min, puiped • 3 min, 10 e on end 10 e off	l af each type in Zandom ntiar	106.7	34.1	49.1	3 ===1==	Studenis	As shove	Each subjert, spent 3 nights in the lab, supprase on all 3 nights, be- ginning act hilf-how from 12 to 5:10 av. Beckground noise ~ 30 dBd,
	Oesda ut al. (1972)	Pink ncise, cetikudue Train n4ite ] jet diffrait koluos	40.0 50.0 40.0 10.0	95.8 94.0 76.1 84.3 74.5 75.3 74.5 75.3 84.5 84.5	3 hours 14 32	2 42 42 42	97.0 90.3 190.3 89.9	18.5 20.6 30.6 20.0	39.0 17.2 12.5	3 males	Students	As above	Each and/set apart 5 utgats in lab, one night for each noise and level; noise on fram 37:00 to 2:00 and from 4:00 to 7:00; 31 eilemli during each partice. Beilgemne noise m10 dbl.
۵	Osada et al. (1925)	Train noise, Wile pass- ing over 4 bridge	40,0 \$0.0 60,0 70.0 80,0	44.0 74.0 84.0 84.0 84.0	•	42 Lê aclaes/night, Drie every 20 ejnutes	34.4 35.6 85.6 95.6 106.6 116.6	2.4 (2.8 22.8 32.8 32.8	10.1 30.9 35.2 43.8 53.7	4 males	Etudeats	As above	Zach subject spant 6 nights in tab, one night at sech noise lavel plus Oni night in beskground of 30 dBA.
	Pearcans at al. (1976)	Jet algoration of sectors after	22,0 (méán) 52,0 (mean)	101.0 75.0	8.0 8.0	46	128.7	49.0 57.1	38+3 40,2	4 mates and females	45	ERG, sabjec- Live	Bachgraund nuise nº 40 dBA.
	Schinber at al, (1968)	Mille maise ramp O to 86 dB (n 10 s	9.CŞ	a9.0	1.0	14, only during last 4 houts of alwep, at intervals of 5, 10, or 15 min	[D0,W	29.3	41,2	t, set aut given	Age hat glads	ERC	2 noise and i control sight. Sack- ground noise + 42 dBA.
		Jot, Laboulf and flyover	a1.0 72.0	\$12.0 \$7.0	16.0 } 14.0 }	V (see woten)	122.2	54.6 35.1	63.3 10.3	9, sex nat given	Age nat glyff	IRG, EMG	Condition $\mathbb{R} \times 12$ stimuli/8 hours (2 of each type of noise hourly), $\mathbb{V} \times 16$ noiseor/8 hours, elternating hours with noise; noise at liberts intervals; 2 soise, 1 control night. Sackground colors of 414

•

Table L (Concluded)

80

~\_\_\_)

.

.

.

### III RESULTS

#### Factors Related to Noise Sensitivity

Reviews published in the <u>Journal of Sound and Vibration</u>,<sup>14</sup> and by the Environmental Protection Agency<sup>12</sup> suggest that several factors affect responses to noise during sleep. These responses may be manifested by brief occurrences of certain EEG patterns, a change in sleep stage towards shallower sleep, or behaviorally defined awakening. This reviewer found little reason to delete or add to the factors affecting sensitivity to noise during sleep. The factors are described briefly below.

- <u>Age</u>. The older the subject the more likely is he to respond.
- (2) <u>Sex</u>. Women tend to be more responsive than men at comparable ages, but there is some indication that college-age women are less responsive than collegeage men.
- (3) <u>Sleep stage</u>. In general, people are most responsive during sleep stage 1, next during stage 2, and then during stages REM and Delta. To some extent, relative sensitivity to noise during stages Delta and REM depends upon the specific response measure used and the meaning of the noise. In general, noise during stage Delta elicits an EEG response at nearly the same intensity needed to elicit that EEG response during stage REM, but the subjects appear unable to respond behaviorally to stimuli during stage Delta. This lack of behavioral response is not apparent during stages REM or 2. Meaningful noises (such as one's name or identifiable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> J. S. Lukas, "Awakening Effects of Simulated Sonic Booms and Aircraft Noise on Men and Women," <u>J. Sound and Vibration</u>, Vol. 20, pp. 457-466 (1972).

aircraft noises) reduce intensity thresholds for behavioral responses during stages 2, Delta, and REM, but the reduction is less in stage Delta than in the other stages. The meaning of the noise appears to have little effect upon thresholds for EEG responses.<sup>1,12,13</sup>

- (4) <u>Noise level</u>. An ealier study<sup>15</sup> suggested that prediction of the probability of No Sleep Disruption or behavioral awakening is most accurate when the descriptor of the noise accounts for the frequency weighted spectrum (in terms such as dBA<sup>\*\*</sup> or PNdB) and for stimulus duration (the term E in units such as EdBA or EPNdB).<sup>†</sup> Generally, the higher the noise level, the greater the probability of a response, no matter how the response may be defined.
- (5) Frequency of noise occurrence. There is some question about the effect of the frequency of noises on the response frequencies. Schieber et al.<sup>16</sup> reported that traffic noises averaging about 1.8 auto and truck passages per minute at 61 dBA disturbed sleep more than traffic noise averaging about 4.3 passages per minute at 70 dBA. They also found that 32 jet takeoff and flyover noises per night caused more sleep disturbance than 16 noises. The jet noises were at comparable levels. They suggested that the greater sleep disturbance by low frequency traffic was due to the difference in level between  $L_{50\%}$  and  $L_{1\%}$ . The difference was 20 dBA for the low frequency traffic but 10 dBA for the high frequency traffic. Schieber et al. assume that

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  The reference level for all noise intensity measures in this report is 0.00002  $N/m^2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Typically dBA, PNdB, or other similar measures indicate the maximum level of intensity reached during a noise occurrence; EdBA or EPNdB refers to an integration of the dBA or PNdB values present each 0.5 s over the entire occurrence of the noise. See K. D. Kryter, <u>The Effects</u> of Noise on Man, pp. 245-307 (Academic Press, New York, New York, 1970).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> J. S. Lukas, D. J. Peeler, and J. E. Davis, "Effects on Sleep of Noise from Two Proposed STOL Aircraft," NASA Report No. CR-132564 (January 1975).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> J. P. Schieber et al., "Étude analytique en laboratoire de l'influence du bruit sur le sommeil," Centre d'Études Bioclimatiques du CNRS, Strasbourg, France (April 1968).

the sleeper somehow adjusts to the average noise level and responds on the basis of the differences between the peak  $(L_{17})$  and average  $(L_{507})$  levels. Their explanation fails to account for the background noise levels (about 48 dBA) the sleeper experienced more often and with longer duration under the low frequency traffic condition. Perhaps a more accurate explanation may be that the intensities of the infrequent traffic noises were of a somewhat higher peak level (3-6 dBA; see Ref. 16, Table B) and generally of longer duration than the more frequent traffic noises.

It should be noted, however, that continuous<sup>17</sup> or very frequent<sup>18</sup> noise throughout the night, even at levels as high as 95 dBA,<sup>17</sup> seems to cause little change in the average durations of sleep stage. These results suggest that generally healthy and young people, in one way or another, are able to sleep reasonably well despite adverse conditions. Anecdotal evidence of sleeping habits gathered during wars and natural disasters suggests as much. Thus, there may be cause to doubt that the EEG measures of sleep quality used to date adequately describe both the short and long term effects of continuous sleep disturbance.

(6) <u>Noise quality</u>. There is clear evidence that inherently meaningful sounds, such as one's name, or sounds that acquire meaning, such as by instructions or conditioning, can awaken the sleeper at intensities lower than those required for meaningless or neutral sounds.<sup>3,4,5,19,20</sup> To some extent the amount of change in threshold for awakening is dependent upon

 <sup>17</sup>T. D. Scott, "The Effects of Continuous, High Intensity, White Noise on the Human Sleep Cycle," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 9, pp. 227-232 (1972).
 <sup>18</sup>L. C. Johnson et al., "Prolonged Exposure to Noise as a Sleep Pattern,"

in Proceedings International Congress on Noise as a Public Health

<u>Problem</u>, W. D. Ward, ed., pp. 559-574 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973). <sup>19</sup> H. L. Williams, "The Problem of Defining Depth of Sleep," in <u>Sleep and</u> <u>Altered States of Consciousness</u>, S. S. Kety, E. V. Evarts, and H. L. Williams, eds., pp. 277-287 (Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, Maryland, 1967)

<sup>20</sup> W. B. Zimmerman, "Sleep Mentation and Auditory Awakening Thresholds," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 6, pp. 540-549 (1970). the subject's motivation; motivation can be altered by instructions, conditioning, or financial inducements.

- (7) Response measures. EEG measures, such as K-complexes or bursts of alpha, have been found most sensitive to acoustic stimuli during sleep. Other autonomic responses are less sensitive but show a consistent hierarchy over the various sleep stages, that is, heart rate and peripheral vasoconstriction are less sensitive than EEG measures; respiration and electrodermal activity are less sensitive than heart rate and peripheral vasoconstriction; and motor responses are least sensitive.10 Simple motor responses, such as pressing a microswitch taped to the hand, occur at relatively low stimulus levels. Higher stimulus levels are needed to elicit more complex behavior, such as verbal responses that indicate the subject is aware of specific properties of some stimulus,<sup>21</sup> or more complex motor responses, such as reaching for and pressing a switch attached to the headboard of the bed.14
- (8) Presleep activity. Conventional wisdom suggests that active individuals would sleep "better" or more deeply (more stage Delta and REM) and thus be less sensitive to noise during sleep. Although the question of sensitivity to noise after activity has not been studied directly, Hauri<sup>22</sup> found that six hours of exercise (equivalent to traveling about 50 miles by bike and about 1-1/2 hours of lifting 15-pound weights) had only a small effect on the EEG measures of sleep quality during the first 3-1/2 hours of sleep, that is, when sleep stage Delta is most prevalent. In Hauri's22 study the same subjects exercised, relaxed, or studied intensively during the six-hour presleep period. Hauri found no significant differences between any of the sleep EEG variables after the subjects performed those activities, but did find that heart rates were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> A. Rechtschaffen, P. Hauri, and M. Zeitlin, "Auditory Awakening Thresholds in Real or NREM Sleep Stages," <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, Vol. 22, pp. 927-942 (1966).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>P. Hauri, "Effects of Evening Activity on Early Night Sleep," <u>Psycho-physiology</u>, Vol. 4, pp. 267-277 (1968).

higher after exercising than they were after relaxing or studying and remained higher even after 3-1/2 hours of sleep. Because time in stages Delta or REM did not increase significantly, it is reasonable to suggest that average sensitivity to noise (regardless of sleep stage) did not change.

If presleep activity consists of prolonged periods of sleep loss (204 hours<sup>2a</sup> and 64 hours<sup>24</sup>), the amount of time spent in stages Delta and REM increase, and an increase of the arousal and stage change thresholds can be anticipated. Williams et al.<sup>24</sup> found large increases in thresholds for evoked changes in EEG patterns and for behavioral awakening in all of the sleep stages. However, noise found in most environments is unlikely to cause such prolonged losses of sleep.

#### Noise Intensity Measures

Two criterion responses to nighttime noise are used commonly: arousal or behavioral awakening, and no-change-in-sleep-pattern. Arousal is defined as an EEG pattern having some or all the characteristics of an awake EEG,<sup>26</sup> while behavioral awakening requires a specific motor or verbal response. Typically, arousal occurs prior to or coincidentally with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> P. Naitoh et al., "Interpretation of Non-Sleep EEG and Sleep EEG Pattern in Recovery Nights after 204 Hours of Prolonged Wakefulness," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 4, p. 392 (1968).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>H. L. Williams et al., "Responses to Auditory Stimulation, Sleep Loss and the EEG Stages of Sleep," <u>EEG Clin. Neurophysiol.</u>, Vol. 16, pp. 269-279 (1964).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>A. Rechtschaffen and A. Kales, eds., <u>A Manual of Standardized Termi-</u> <u>nology, Techniques and Scoring System for Sleep Stages of Human Subjects</u>, NIH Publication No. 204 (1968).

behavioral awakening.<sup>W</sup> For our purposes, we can consider these responses essentially equivalent because, on one hand, if EEG arousal is the response of interest, behavioral awakening follows frequently if it is required; on the other hand, if behavioral awakening is the desired response and it occurs in response to noise, it matters little whether an arousal occurred because the criterion response was obtained. Furthermore, behavioral awakening implicitly indicates a greater degree of cerebral activation and control than does EEG arousal alone.

A rationale for and a definition of a more inclusive criterion response, No Sleep Disruption, has been developed recently.<sup>1</sup> Briefly, No Sleep Disruption specifically includes brief, transient changes in EEG pattern that occur normally in the different sleep stages; examples of such changes are K-complexes during stage 2, brief bursts of alpha during stages 1 or REM, and brief increases in muscular tension levels or brief movements of the body during any of the stages. Thus, a response is any EEG change or behavior indicating the subject has shifted from one sleep stage to some other shallower stage within one minute of stimulus termination. If the effects of noise are described in terms of No Sleep Disruption many other responses (stage changes, arousals, behavioral awakenings) are subsumed. Investigators may wish to study particular

There is some controversy on this point (see, for example, Refs. 10, 12, 26). If the motor response requires little conscious effort on the subject's part, the motor response may occur without indication of EEG arousal. If the motor response is relatively complex, such as reaching for a response switch, calling out some prelearned material, or repeating some auditory or visual stimulus pattern, EEG arousal is likely to occur in conjunction with the behavioral response.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>H. L. Williams, H. C. Morlock, Jr., and J. V. Morlock, "Instrumental Behavior During Sleep," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 2, pp. 208-216 (1966).

responses, for example stage changes, but it is recommended that in addition to the particular responses, results on the frequency of No Sleep Disruption be provided.

Frequencies of arousals or behavioral awakenings and No Sleep Disruption have been correlated with several commonly used measures of noise intensity to discern which intensity measure best predicts the different response frequencies. The results are shown in Table 2. We have distinguished between college-age (about 20-25 years of age) and middle-age (about 30-60 years of age) subjects, because earlier studies indicate that age affects response frequencies. Because women, children, and the old have been studied rarely, there are too few data to establish reliable coefficients for these age and sex groups. Therefore, the response data used to calculate the coefficients include women in the appropriate age groups, but not the very young or old. We have included data provided by Osada et al.;<sup>27</sup> Anon.;<sup>28</sup> Thiessen;<sup>29</sup> Schneider;<sup>30</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Y. Osada et al., "Experimental Study on the Sleep Interference by Train Noise," <u>Bull. Inst. Publ. Health</u> (1975), in press.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Annon., "Effects of Aircraft Noise on Sleep," Part 4, pp. 45-69, <u>Report of the Effect of Noise, 1970</u> (March 1971). This report was kindly provided by Dr. Y. Osada of the Japanese Institute of Public Health.
 <sup>29</sup> G. J. Thiessen, "Effects of Noise During Sleep," in <u>Physiological</u>

Effects of Noise, B. L. Welch and A. S. Welch, eds., pp. 271-275 (Plenum Press, New York, New York, 1970).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> N. O-M. Schneider, "Evaluation subjective du sommeil normal ou perturbe par le bruit, relations avec certains indicateurs physiologiques et traits de personnalité," Ph.D. thesis, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France (December 1973).

### Table 2

### COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN RESPONSES TO NOISE DURING SLEEP AND SELECTED MEASURES OF NOISE INTENSITY

	No	Sleep D	isruptio	n
	In	tensity	Measures	
Age Group	Max dBA	EdBA*	EPNdB*	SENEL+
College (22) <sup>‡</sup>	-0,769	-0.796	-0.766	-0.754
Middle age (35) <sup>‡</sup>	-0.699	-0.761	-0.817	-0.717
College and middle age	-0.692	-0.789	~0.812	-0.761
} }	Arousal	or Behav	ioral Aw	akening
College (23) <sup>±</sup>	0.460	0.475	0.287	0.404
College and middle age	0.581	0.615	0.500	0,518

<sup>\*</sup> EdBA and EPNdB calculated according to technique described by Kryter,<sup>39</sup>, pp. 472-484.

\*SENEL (Single Event Noise Exposure Level) =  $L_{max} + 10 \log_{10} \frac{t}{2}$ , where  $L_{max}$  is in units of dBA, and t is noise duration measured between the 10 dB downpoint (Ref. 40, p. A-29).

<sup>±</sup>Number of data points.

Collins and Iampietro;<sup>51</sup> Lukas et al.;<sup>15,32,33,34,25</sup> Kramer et al.;<sup>36</sup> and Zimmerman.<sup>20</sup> Rather than presenting stimuli at one or several intensities and obtaining response frequencies as did most investigators, Kramer et al.<sup>36</sup> and Zimmerman<sup>20</sup> increased stimulus levels until the desired response (a stage change, arousal, or behavioral awakening) was obtained. Therefore, to incorporate their data it was necessary to assume that the thresholds reported were the mean intensity at which all subjects either changed sleep stages<sup>36</sup> or were aroused or behaviorally awakened.<sup>36,20</sup>

Two conclusions can be drawn from the coefficients shown in Table 2: (1) the frequency of No Sleep Disruption in both age groups is predicted more accurately by the various measures of intensity than is the frequency of behavioral awakening or arousal, and (2) arousal and behavioral awakening can be predicted more accurately in middle-age than in college-age subjects.

Of greater importance than these two conclusions, perhaps, is a statistical comparison of certain pairs of correlations insofar as the

- <sup>34</sup> J. S. Lukas and M. E. Dobbs, "Effects of Aircraft Noises on the Sleep of Women," NASA Report No. CR-2041 (June 1972).
- <sup>36</sup> J. S. Lukas, D. J. Peeler, and M. E. Dobbs, "Arousal from Sleep by Noises from Aircraft with and without Acoustically Treated Nacelles," NASA Report No. CR-2279 (July 1973).
- <sup>36</sup>M. Kramer et al., "Noise Disturbance and Sleep," DoT Report No. FAA-NO-70-16 (1971); see also T. Roth, M. Kramer, and J. Trinder, "Noise-Sleep and Post Sleep Behavior," paper presented at the American Psychiatric Association Meeting, Washington, D.C., 1971.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> W. E. Collins and P. F. Iampietro, "Effects on Sleep of Hourly Presentations of Simulated Sonic Booms. (50 N/M<sup>2</sup>)," in <u>Proceedings International</u> <u>Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem</u>, W. D. Ward, ed., pp. 541-548 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>J. S. Lukas and K. D. Kryter, "Awakening Effects of Simulated Sonic Booms and Subsonic Aircraft Noise on Six Subjects, 7 to 72 Years of Age," NASA Report No. CR-1599 (May 1973).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>J. S. Lukas, M. E. Dobbs, and K. D. Kryter, "Disturbance of Human Sleep by Subsonic Jet Aircraft Noise and Simulated Sonic Booms," NASA Report No. CR-1780 (July 1971).

comparison may suggest how noise intensity should be described to best predict human responses to noise. The difference in coefficients (aggregated over the age groups) for maximum dBA (-0.692) versus EdBA (-0.789) indicates the latter to be statistically greater (t = 2.13, p = 0.025; one-tailed test);37 the coefficient of correlation of noise levels when measured in units of dBA and EdBA is 0.851. The larger difference between the EPNdB and SENEL coefficients (-0.812 versus -0.761) was statistically significant (t = 2.89 with 54 degrees of freedom, with a correlation of 0.974 between levels in units of EPNdB and SENEL; p = 0.005), but the smaller difference (0.023 units) between EdBA and EPNdB is not statistically significant (t = 1.58 with 54 degrees of freedom). The coefficient of correlation between intensity measured in units of EdBA and EPNdB is 0.983. Therefore, to predict the frequency of No Sleep Disruption as a result of noise, we should take the duration of the noise into account, and use EdBA, EPNdB, and SENEL as predictors. In addition, there is somewhat greater predictive accuracy if EPNdB, rather than SENEL, is used as the unit of noise intensity.

In comparing the two response measures, we find that frequency of No Sleep Disruption can be predicted more accurately than frequency of arousal or behavioral awakening if units of EdBA are used (No Sleep Disruption versus arousal or behavioral awakening<sup>†</sup> and units of EdBA--0.615 versus -0.789, t = 3.00, p = 0.005), but not if units of max dBA are used (units of max dBA--0.581 versus -0.692, t = 1.63, not significant). However, the generally larger magnitude of the coefficients found in the No Sleep Disruption section in Table 2 suggests that this

<sup>&</sup>quot;See J. S. Lukas, "Assessment of Noise Effects on Human Sleep," paper presented at the American Psychological Association Convention, Chicago, Illinois, 31 August 1975.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The signs of the coefficients were not used in these calculations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> H. M. Walker and J. Lev, <u>Statistical Inference</u>, p. 257 (Henry Holt & Co., New York, New York, 1953).

response can be predicted more accurately than the frequency of arousal and behavioral awakening.

The coefficient of correlation between the frequency of No Sleep Disruption and the frequency of arousal or behavioral awakening calculated across two age groups was -0.777. Thus, as might be expected, as the frequency of arousal or awakening increases, the simultaneous frequency of No Sleep Disruption decreases. This moderately high correlation indicates that our earlier suggestion<sup>2</sup> that No Sleep Disruption be used as a criterion measure against which to assess the effect of noise has merit because it is sensitive to both significant disruption in sleep pattern details and arousal and awakening.

Figures 1 and 2 permit a comparison of the distributions of No Sleep Disruption and of arousal or awakening in the two age groups caused by the same types of noise at various intensities. In Figure 1 it appears that Schneider's<sup>30</sup> data are deviant, that is, the sleep of her subjects was disrupted less than expected; in Figure 2 Schneider's subjects also showed a lower than expected frequency of arousal, as did the subjects of Osada et al.<sup>27</sup> However, Kramer's<sup>36</sup> and Zimmerman's<sup>20</sup> subjects were awakened much more frequently than expected. This high frequency of arousal was probably caused by increasing the intensity of the stimulus until an arousal was obtained. This procedure makes the subjects appear more sensitive than they would be if single noise bursts occurred at random intensities and intervals.<sup>1</sup> It is not immediately obvious why the subjects of Schneider and Osada et al. were aroused relatively infrequently. Perhaps in the experiments of Osada et al. the subjects did not "hear" the 20-s bursts of noise that occurred every 20 minutes until the noise attained levels the subjects could not "ignore." Consistent with this analysis is the report by Osada et al., that their subjects noted an increase (double or more) in the number of noises heard only when the highest noise levels (about 98 and 108 EPNdB) occurred.

Nevertheless, Figures 1 and 2 illustrate why, on the basis of available data, the frequency of No Sleep Disruption can be predicted more accurately than the frequency of arousal and behavioral awakening.



FIGURE 1 FREQUENCY OF NO SLEEP DISRUPTION AT VARIOUS NOISE LEVELS IN COLLEGE AND MIDDLE AGED MEN AND WOMEN (SEE TABLE 1 FOR STUDY AND STIMULUS CODE)

#### Predicting Sleep Quality

Schneider's<sup>30</sup> subjects filled out several questionnaires about the quality of their sleep, and their responses were analyzed to determine common factors. Three types of questions were found to be common and to explain about 77 percent of the total variance in the sleep quality data. The three factors, listed in order of relative importance, were: (1) feelings of well being on arousal, (2) feelings about the general



FIGURE 2 FREQUENCY OF AROUSAL OR AWAKENING FROM SLEEP IN COLLEGE AND MIDDLE AGED MEN AND WOMEN BY NOISE AT VARIOUS INTENSITIES (SEE TABLE 1 FOR THE STUDY AND STIMULUS CODE)

21

-----

quality of sleep, and (3) an estimate of how long it took to fall asleep. Using these findings as a lead, studies of noise-disturbed sleep that included questions pertinent to all or some of the three factors were isolated. For each study a Composite Sleep Quality score was calculated<sup>\*</sup> and the percentage of change in Composite Sleep Quality (relative to baseline or nights without noise) were correlated with the composite level of noise present during the noise nights.

Figures 3, 4, and 5 permit comparison of the distributions of changes in Composite Sleep Quality when the composite noise levels at night are calculated in units of CNR (Composite Noise Rating; Kryter),<sup>39</sup> L eq(7.5)

In most of the studies the subjects marked a line indicating their position on each factor on a continuum ranging from good to bad, for example. The individual item score was the relative position of the subject's mark on the line. The Composite Sleep Quality score was simply the sum of the scores obtained on each question dealing with each factor. This procedure of summing permits questions with the greatest validity to contribute most weight to the composite score. Some studies included several questions about a single factor. In this case, an average score was calculated for each factor, and the averages were summed to obtain the composite score. Because investigators used different scales to assess quality (Schneider, 30 for example, used a scale of +60 to -60; Herbert<sup>38</sup> used a 10-cm line, where a score of 50 mm was analogous to a normal sleep night) and all did not include questions about each of the three factors, a percentage of change score calculated with respect to Composite Sleep Quality on a night (or nights) without noise was used in our analysis.

<sup>38</sup> M. Herbert and R. T. Wilkinson, "The Effects of Noise-Disturbed Sleep on Subsequent Performance," in <u>Proceedings International Congress on</u> <u>Noise as a Public Health Problem</u>, W. D. Ward, ed., pp. 527-539 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973); and M. Herbert, "Some Determinants of Subjectively Rated Sleep Quality," <u>Brit. J. Psychol.</u> (1975), in press.

<sup>39</sup> K. D. Kryter, <u>The Effects of Noise on Man</u>, pp. 484-485 (Academic Press, New York, New York, 1970).



FIGURE 3 RELATIVE SUBJECTIVE DISTURBANCE OF SLEEP AT VARIOUS TOTAL NIGHTTIME NOISE LEVELS CALCULATED IN UNITS OF CNR (SEE TABLE 1 FOR STUDY AND STIMULUS CODE)

(Equivalent Level; EPA),<sup>40</sup> and NNI (Noise Number Index; Burns),<sup>41</sup> respectively. A reasonably systematic relationship is apparent for both the CNR and NNI measures and the change in Composite Sleep Quality, but is less apparent for the L measure, although the coefficient associated with this measure is high (0.899). As illustrated in Figure 4, large

<sup>40</sup>U.S. EPA, "Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety," pp. A-12 and A-16 (EPA No. 550/9-74-004, 1974).

41 W. Burns, Noise and Man, pp. 225-226 (John Murray, London, 1968).







FIGURE 5 RELATIVE SUBJECTIVE DISTURBANCE OF SLEEP AT VARIOUS TOTAL NIGHTTIME NOISE LEVELS CALCULATED IN UNITS OF NNI (SEE TABLE 1 FOR STUDY AND STIMULUS CODE)

decreases in subjective sleep quaity occurred at low (compared to the background) noise levels. The inconsistency between subjective sleep quality and composite noise levels in units of  $L_{eq}$  is due to the fact that the stimuli used had very short durations (see Table 1) compared with the total time (7.5 hours in our calculations) during the night. Therefore, in calculating L , \* large negative values were subtracted from L levels, resulting in L levels below background level. To correct this situation, the background level was assumed to be L and present for approximately 7.5 hours, and the stimuli added only slightly to the L . For example, in the Ludlow and Morgan<sup>42</sup> studies the background level was about 37 dBA (or L  $_{eq}$  = 37 dBA), and the eight simulated sonic booms of 62.5 dBA--each with a duration of about 0.5 s-contributed only 0.2 to total nighttime L eq(7.5) (37.2 dBA); booms 10 dBA higher (72.5 dBA) resulted in a L eq(7.5) = 38.8 dBA. Thus, it appears, on the basis of evidence presently available, that L may not be useful in predicting Composite Sleep Quality when noise levels are low and of short duration compared to the background level.

The formulas were

(1)  $L_{eq} = L_{max} + 10 \log_{10} \frac{nt}{2.3T}$ , and (2)  $L_{eq} = L_{max} + 10 \log_{10} \frac{nt}{T}$ .

Formula (1) was used if the noise had a triangular shape and formula (2) was used if the noise was a square pulse. t/T is the fraction of time the noise was present, T = 7.5 hours, n is the number of noise bursts,  $L_{max}$  is maximum noise level in dBA, and noise duration -t- is the time between the 10 dB downpoints.

<sup>42</sup>J. E. Ludlow and P. A. Morgan, "Behavioral Awakening and Subjective Reactions to Indoor Sonic Booms," <u>J. Sound and Vibration</u>, Vol. 25, pp. 479-495 (1972).

 $NNI^{\star}$  has deficiencies similar to those of L \_\_\_\_\_. The original NNI technique specifies that units of maximum PNdB be used in the calculations; in other words, the durations of the noises are suggested to be of little importance. The data presented herein suggest that if EPNdB units were used to calculate NNI, better predictions of sleep disturbance should result. However, under certain noise conditions, if EPNdB or EdBA are used to calculate NNI, the NNI for the noise may be less than the NNI for the background. For example, presume ten noise bursts of 63 PNdB (50 dBA) each, and each burst of 10-s duration (between the 10 dB downpoints). The NNI is about 15 (in units of EPNdB) for a background level of 48 PNdB (35 dBA), whereas 10 bursts of noise are equivalent to a NNI of 10.8 (in EPNdB units). Twenty noise bursts, each of 50 dBA, or 5 bursts, each of 60 dBA, are required to produce a NNI equivalent to that of the background. Because of this inconsistency, the background noise levels in Figure 5 are shown in units of dBA, not in NNI units.

NNI (Noise and Number Index) = average peak noise level + 15  $\log_{10}$  N - 80, where average peak noise level is the logarithmic average.

Logarithmic average peak noise level = 10  $\log_{10} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1}^{N} 10^{\frac{L}{10}}$ ,

where L = peak noise level of each noise, and N = number of noises (after Burns, 1968, pp. 225-226). EPNdB levels were used to calculate CNR and NNI. Because  $L_{eq}$  specifies that duration between the 10-dB downpoints be used to determine t (the time the noise was on) particularly when the noises are more than 10 dB above background noise levels, a duration correction was not needed.

In contrast, Kryter's Formula 8 (p. 484)<sup>39</sup> for computing CNR was found to be a reasonable metric for calculating background levels, and his Formula  $7^{*}$  (p. 484) was a compatible technique for calculating night-time noise exposure.

A technique for calculating a composite of the background noise and randomly occurring noise peaks is needed because laboratory experience indicates that subjects adapt to fairly low levels (below about 40 dBA) of constant background noise and, after adaptation, sleep "normally." Normality is defined as the usual sleep pattern for any particular subject, and for healthy subjects their sleep patterns can be compared and assessed with respect to the patterns of normative samples.<sup>43,44,45</sup>

CNR (Composite Noise Rating) = [(EPNL + 10 log<sub>10</sub> 0<sub>1</sub>) + ...

 $<sup>(\</sup>text{EPNL}_n + 10 \log_{10} 0_n)] - 2$ , where EPNL = frequency weighted spectrum and duration of the noises 1 through n, EPNdB calculated using 0.5 sec as the reference duration, and  $0_1$  through  $0_n$  are the number of occurrences of each noise (Kryter, Formula 7, p. 484).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> I. Feinberg, "Effects of Age on Human Sleep Patterns," in <u>Sleep: Physiology and Pathology</u>, A. Kales, ed., pp. 39-52 (J. B. Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1969).

<sup>44</sup> W. B. Webb, "Twenty-Four-Hour Sleep Cycling," in <u>ibid.</u>, pp. 53-65.
45 W. B. Webb, "Sleep Behavior as a Biorhythm," in <u>Biological Rhythms</u> <u>and Human Performance</u>, W. P. Calquhoun, ed., pp. 149-177 (Academic Press, New York, New York, 1971).

#### IV CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- (1) In a broad sample of the population, available evidence indicates that units of EdBA, EPNdB, and SENEL can predict the frequency or probability of No Sleep Disturbance to nighttime noise with nearly equivalent accuracies. EPNdB appears to be slightly more accurate than EdBA and more accurate than SENEL. Units that do not account for stimulus duration, such as maximum dBA or PNdB, are far less accurate than those that do.
- (2) Although we are able to predict the frequency of behavioral awakening or arousal in middle-aged populations with reasonable accuracy if stimulus duration and intensity are accounted for, these predictions are far less accurate for college-aged populations. Across the two age groups the accuracy of predicting arousal or awakening is generally poor, and units such as maximum dBA are no more accurate than units such as EdBA.
- (3) There is evidence that questionnaires about subjective sleep quality should include items about the subject's (a) feelings of well-being on arousal, (b) feelings of general sleep quality, and (c) an estimate of how long it took to fall asleep. The answers to these questions should permit the subject to mark his response on a continuum ranging from, for example, good to bad, or better (longer) than normal to far worse (shorter) than normal. The quality of sleep for each item may then be proportional to the distance from some neutral point along the continuum. A simple sum of the scores on each item can be used as a measure of Composite Sleep Quality.
- (4) Although the available evidence is limited, Composite Sleep Quality apparently can be predicted with reasonable accuracy from measures of nightly composite noise levels. Among the three composite noise measures calculated, it appears at this time that CNR is best able to predict changes in Composite Sleep Quality, when CNR is calculated using EdBA or EPNdB as the basic unit of noise measurement.

(5) Additional studies of the reliability of the Composite Sleep Quality measure, and of the relationship of subjective sleep quality measure to composite noise level measures are recommended.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anon. (Research Group on the Effect of Noise), "Effects of Aircraft Noise on Sleep," Part 4, pp. 45-69, <u>Report of the Effect of Noise</u>, <u>1970</u> (March 1971), in Japanese.
- Backeland, F., and E. Hartmann, "Sleep Requirements and the Characteristics of Some Sleepers," in <u>Sleep and Dreaming</u>, E. Hartmann, ed., Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 33-43 (Little, Brown & Co., Boston, Massachusetts, 1970).
- Bohlin, G., "Monotonous Stimulation, Sleep Onset and Habituation of the Orienting Reaction," <u>Electroenceph. Clin Neurophysical</u>., Vol. 31, pp. 593-601 (1971).
- Bradley, C., and R. Meddis, "Arousal Threshold in Dreaming Sleep," <u>Physiological Psychology</u>, Vol. 2, pp. 109-110 (1974).
- Bryden, G., and T. L. Haldstock, "Effect of Night Duty on Sleep of Nurses," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 10, pp. 36-42 (1973).

Burns, W., Noise and Man, pp. 225-226 (John Murray, London, 1968).

- Collins, W. E., and P. F. Iampietro, "Effects on Sleep of Hourly Presentations of Simulated Sonic Booms (50 N/M<sup>2</sup>)," in <u>Proceedings</u> <u>International Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem</u>, W. D. Ward, ed., pp. 541-558 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973).
- Dobbs, M. E., "Behavioral Responses to Auditory Stimulation During Sleep, J. Sound Vibration, Vol. 20, pp. 467-476 (1972).
- Ehrenstein, W., and W. Müller-Limmroth, "Changes in Sleep Patterns Caused by Shift Work and Traffic Noise," Paper presented at the Third International Symposium on Night and Shift Work, Dortmund, Germany (October 1974).
- Ehrenstein, W., and B. Schmid, "Effect of Traffic Noise on Night and Day Sleep Patterns," paper presented at Second European Congress on Sleep Research, Rome, Italy (1974).
- Feinberg, I., "Effects of Age on Human Sleep Patterns," in <u>Sleep</u>: <u>Physiology and Pathology</u>, A. Kales, ed., pp. 39-52 (J. B. Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1969).

Firth, N., "Habituation During Sleep," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 10, pp. 43-51 (1973).

- Globus, G., et al., "The Effects of Aircraft Noise on Sleep Electrophysciology as Recorded in One Home," in <u>Proceedings International</u> <u>Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem</u>, W. D. Ward, ed., pp. 587-591 (U.S. EPA 550/9-73-008, 1973).
- Globus, G., E. C. Phoebus, and R. Boyd, "Temporal Organization of Night Workers' Sleep," University of California, Irvine (undated manuscript).
- Grosser, G. S., and A. W. Siegal, "Emergence of a Tonic-Phasic Model for Sleep and Dreaming," <u>Psychol. Bull</u>., Vol. 75, pp. 60-72 (1971).
- Hartmann, E. L., <u>The Functions of Sleep</u>, p. 57 (Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut, 1973).
- Hartmann, E. L., F. Baekeland, and G. Zwilling, "Psychological Differences Between Long and Short Sleepers," <u>Arch. Gen. Psychiatry</u>, Vol. 26, pp. 463-468 (1972).
- Hartmann, E. L., et al., "Sleep Need: How Much Sleep and What Kind?," <u>Amer. J. Psychiatry</u>, Vol. 127, pp. 1001-1008 (1971).
- Hauri, P., "Effects of Evening Activity on Early Night Sleep," <u>Psycho-physiology</u>, Vol. 4, pp. 267-277 (1968).
- Herbert, M., and R. T. Wilkinson, "The Effects of Noise-Disturbed Sleep on Subsequent Performance, in <u>Proceedings International Congress on</u> <u>Noise as a Public Health Problem</u>," W. D. Ward, ed., pp. 527-439 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973).
- Herbert, M., "Some Determinants of Subjectively Rated Sleep Quality," <u>Brit. J. Psychol</u>. (1975), in press.
- Hobson, J. A., "Sleep After Exercise," <u>Science</u>, Vol. 162, pp. 1503-1505 (1968).
- Johnson, L. C., et al., "Prolonged Exposure to Noise as a Sleep Problem," in <u>Proceedings International Congress on Noise as a Public Health</u> <u>Problem</u>, W. D. Ward, ed., pp. 559-574 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973).
- Johnson, L. C., "Are Stages of Sleep Related to Waking Behavior?," <u>Amer. Scientist</u>, Vol. 61, pp. 326-338 (1973).

Johnson, L. C., "A Psychophysiology for All States," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 6, pp. 501-516 (1970).

Keefe, F. B., L. C. Johnson, and E. J. Hunter, "EEG and Autonomic Response Pattern During Waking and Sleep Stages," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 8, pp. 198-212 (1971).

- Kramer, M., et al., "Noise Disturbance and Sleep," DoT Report No. FAA-NO-70-16 (1971); see also T. Roth, M. Kramer, and J. Trinder, "Noise-Sleep, and Post Sleep Behavior," paper presented at the American Psychiatric Association Meeting, Washington, D.C. (1971).
- Kripke, D. F., B. Cook, and D. F. Lewis, "Sleep of Night Workers," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 7, pp. 377-384 (1971).
- Kryter, K. D., <u>The Effects of Noise on Man</u> (Academic Press, New York, New York, 1970).
- Langford, G. W., R. Meddis, and A.J.D. Pearson, "Awakening Latency from Sleep for Meaningful and Non-Meaningful Stimuli," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 11, pp. 1-5 (1974).
- LeVere, T. E., et al., "Arousal from Sleep: The Differential Effect of Frequencies Equated for Loudness," <u>Physiology and Behavior</u>, Vol. 12, pp. 573-582 (1974).
- LeVere, T. E., et al., "Arousal from Sleep: Responsiveness to Different Auditory Frequencies Equated for Loudness," <u>Physiology and Behavior</u>, Vol. 10, pp. 53-57 (1973).
- LeVere, T. E., R. T. Bartus, and F. D. Hart, "Electroencephalographic and Behavioral Effects of Nocturnally Occurring Jet Aircraft Sounds," <u>J. Aerospace Medicine</u>, Vol. 43, pp. 384-389 (1972).

, "The Relation Between Time of Presentation and the Sleep Disturbing Effects of Nocturnally Occurring Jet Aircraft Flyovers," NASA CR-2036 (May 1972).

Ludlow, J. E., and P. A. Morgan, "Behavioral Awakening and Subjective Reactions to Indoor Sonic Booms," J. Sound and Vibration, Vol. 25, pp. 479-495 (1972); see also P. A. Morgan and C. G. Rice, "Behavioral Awakening in Response to Indoor Sonic Booms," Techn. Report No. 41, Institute of Sound and Vibration Research, University of Southampton, England (1970). Lukas, J. S., D. J. Peeler, and J. E. Davis, "Effects on Sleep of Noise from Two Proposed STOL Aircraft," NASA Report No. CR-132564 (January 1975).

Lukas, J. S., "Assessment of Noise Effects on Human Sleep," paper presented at the American Psychological Association Convention, Chicago, Illinois, 31 August 1975.

\_\_\_\_\_, "Sleep and Noise: A Literature Review and a Proposed Criterion for Assessing Effect," <u>J. Acoustical Soc. Amer</u>. (December 1975), in press.

Lukas, J. S., D. J. Peeler and M. E. Dobbs, "Arousal from Sleep by Noise from Aircraft with and Without Acoustically Treated Nacelles," NASA Report No. CR-2279 (July 1973).

Lukas, J. S., "Awakening Effects of Simulated Sonic Booms and Aircraft Noise on Men and Women," <u>J. Sound and Vibration</u>, Vol. 20, pp. 457-466 (1972).

Lukas, J. S., and M. E. Dobbs, "Effects of Aircraft Noises on the Sleep of Women," NASA Report No. CR-2041 (June 1972).

Lukas, J. S., M. E. Dobbs, and K. D. Kryter, "Disturbance of Human Sleep by Subsonic Jet Aircraft Noise and Simulated Sonic Booms," NASA Report No. CR-1780 (July 1971).

Lukas, J. S., and K. D. Kryter, "Awakening Effects of Simulated Sonic Booms and Subsonic Aircraft Noise on Six Subjects, 7 to 72 years of Age," NASA Report No. CR-1599 (May 1970).

Metz, M. B., and A. Muzet, "Effets propres et interaction de l'elevation du niveau sonore et de la temperature, ambiante sur le sommeil," Centre D'Etudes Bioclimatiques du CNRS, Strasbourg, France (April 1975).

Miller, J. D., "Effects of Noise on People," pp. 58-78 (U.S. EPA No. NTID 300.7, 1971).

Monroe, L. J. "Transient Changes in EEG Sleep Patterns of Married Good Sleepers: The Effects of Altering Sleeping Arrangement," <u>Psycho-physiology</u>, Vol. 6, pp. 330-337 (1969).

, "Psychological and Physiological Differences Between Good and Poor Sleepers," J. Abnormal Psychology, Vol. 72, pp. 255-264 (1967). Moses, J., et al., "Reliability of Sleep Measures," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 9, pp. 78-82 (1972).

- Muzet, A., "Evaluation experimentale de la gene et de la nuisance des perturbations du sommeil par divers bruits de circulation automobile et aerienne," Le Travail Humain (1974), in press.
- Muzet, A., et al., "Relationship Between Subjective and Physiological Assessments of Noise-disturbed Sleep," in <u>Proceedings International</u> <u>Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem, W. D. Ward, ed.,</u> <u>pp. 575-586 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973).</u>
- Naitoh, P., L. C. Johnson, and M. Austin, "Aquanaut Sleep Patterns During Tektite I: A 60-day Habituation under Hyperbaric Nitrogen Saturation," J. Aerospace Medicine, Vol. 42, pp. 69-77 (1971).
- Naitoh, P., et al., "Interpretation of Non-Sleep EEG and Sleep EEG Pattern in Recovery Nights After 204 Hours of Prolonged Wakefulness," paper presented at 7th Annual Meeting of the Association for Psychophysiological Study of Sleep, 6-9 April 1967; <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 4, p. 392 (1968).
- Olivier-Martin (Schneider), M., J. P. Schieber, and A. Muzet, "Réponses a' un questionnaire sur le sommeil nocturne et un questionnaire sur la forme diurne au cours d'une experience de perturbations du sommeil par 4 types de bruits d'avions," <u>Bulletin de Psychologie</u>, Vol. 26, pp. 972-994 (1972).
- Osada, Y., et al., "Experimental Study on the Sleep Interference by Train Noise," <u>Bull. Inst. Pub. Health</u> (1975), in press.
- Osada, Y., et al., "Effects of Train and Jet Aircraft Noise on Sleep," Bull. Inst. Pub. Health, Vol. 21, pp. 133-138 (1972).
- Osada, Y., et al., "Sleep Impairment Caused by Short Time Exposure to Continuous and Intermittent Noise," <u>Bull. Inst. Pub. Health</u>, Vol. 18, pp. 11-19 (1969).
- Osada, Y., et al., "Experimental Study on the Influence of Noise on Sleep," <u>Bull. Inst. Pub. Health</u>, Vol. 17, pp. 208-217 (1968).

Oshima, M., et al., "On the Influence of Auditory Stimulation on Sleep," J. Science of Labour, Vol. 31, pp. 719-726 (1955).

Oswald, I., A. M. Taylor, and M. Treisman, "Discriminative Responses to Stimulation During Human Sleep, <u>Brain</u>, Vol. 83, pp. 440-453 (1960).

- Pearsons, K.S., et al., "Effect of Cessation of Late-Night Landing Noise on Sleep Electrophysiology in the Home," NASA Report No. CR-132543 (1974).
- Rechtschaffen, A., P. Hauri, and M. Zeitlin, "Auditory Awakening Thresholds in REM and NREM Sleep Stages," <u>Perceptual and Motor</u> Skills, Vol 22, pp. 927-942 (1966).
- Rechtschaffen, A., and A. Kales, eds., <u>A Manual of Standardized Ter-</u> minology, <u>Techniques and Scoring System for Sleep Stage of Human</u> Subjects, NIH Publication No. 204 (1968).
- Robinson, D. W., "The Concept of Noise Follution Level," <u>NPL Aero Report</u> <u>AC38</u>, National Physical Laboratory, England (1969).
- Roth, T., M. Kramer, and J. Trinder, "Noise-Sleep and Post Sleep Behavior," paper presented at the American Psychiatric Association Meeting, Washington, D.C., 1971.
- Saito, K., "A Polygraphical Study on the Effect of Noise on Sleep," Japanese J. Pub. Health, Vol. 10, pp. 383-387 (1963).
- Saletu, B., "Is the Subjectively Experienced Quality of Sleep Related to Objective Sleep Parameters?," <u>Behavioral Biology</u>, Vol. 13, pp. 433-444 (1975).
- Schieber, P., J. Mery, and A. Muzet, "Étude analytique en laboratoire de l'influence du bruit sur le sommeil," Centre d'Etudes Bioclimatiques du CNRS, Strasbourg, France (April 1968).
- Schneider, N.O-M., "Evaluation subjective du sommeil normal ou perturbe par le bruit, relations avec certains indicateurs psychologiques et traits de personnalité," Ph.D. thesis, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France (December 1973).
- Scott, T. D., "The Effects of Continuous, High Intensity, White Noise on the Human Sleep Cycle," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 9, pp. 227-232 (1972).
- Smith, R. C., and G. L. Hulto, "Sonic Booms and Sleep: Affect Change as a Function of Age," FAA-AM-72-24 (1972).
- Snyder, F., "Psychophysiology of Human Sleep," <u>Clin. Neurosurgery</u>, Vol. 18, pp. 503-536 (1971).

Tamura, Y., "Peripheral Vasomotor Response and Its Habituation in Sleep," J. Physiological Soc. Japan, Vol. 29, pp. 224-238 (1967).

Thiessen, G. J., "Effects of Noise During Sleep," in <u>Physiological</u> <u>Effects of Noise</u>, B. L. Welch and A. S. Welch, eds., pp. 271-275 (Plenum Press, New York, New York, 1970).

"Noise Interference with Sleep," National Research Council of Canada (1972).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Information on Levels of Environmental Noise Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety," EPA No. 550/9-74-004 (1974).

Walker, H. M., and J. Lev, <u>Statistical Inference</u>, p. 257 (Henry Holt & Co., New York, New York, 1953).

Webb, W. B., and H. Swinburne, "An Observational Study of Sleep of the Aged," <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, Vol. 32, pp. 895-898 (1971).

Webb, W. B., "Sleep as an Adaptive Response," <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, Vol. 38, pp. 1023-1027 (1974).

, "Patterns in Sleep Behaviour," in <u>Aspects of Human Efficiency</u>, W. P. Calquhoun, ed., pp. 31-46 (English Universities Press, London, 1972).

Webb, W. B., and J. Friel, "Sleep Stage and Personality Characteristics of 'Natural' Long and Short Sleepers," <u>Science</u>, Vol. 171, pp. 587-588 (1971).

Webb, W. B., "Sleep Behaviour as a Biorhythm," in <u>Biological Rhythms and</u> <u>Human Performance</u>, W. P. Calquhoun, ed., pp. 149-177 (Academic Press, New York, New York, 1971).

Webb, W. B., and H. W. Agnew, Jr., "Sleep Stage Characteristics of Long and Short Sleepers," <u>Science</u>, Vol. 168, pp. 146-147 (1970).

Webb, W. B., "Twenty-Four-Hour Sleep Cycling," in <u>Sleep: Physiology</u> <u>and Pathology</u>, A. Kales, ed. (J. B. Lippincott Co., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1969).

Williams, H. L., "Effects of Noise on Sleep: A Review," in <u>Proceedings</u> <u>International Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem</u>, W. D. Ward, ed., pp. 501-511 (U.S. EPA No. 550/9-73-008, 1973). , "The Problem of Defining Depth of Sleep," in <u>Sleep and</u> <u>Altered States of Consciousness</u>, S. S. Kety, E. V. Evarts, and H. L. Williams, eds., pp. 277-287 (Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, Maryland, 1967).

Williams, H. L., H. C. Morlock, Jr., and J. V. Morlock, "Instrumental Behavior During Sleep," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 2, pp. 208-216 (1966).

Williams, H. L., et al., "Responses to Auditory Stimulation, Sleep Loss and the EEG Stages of Sleep," <u>EEG Clin. Neurophysiol</u>., Vol. 16, pp. 269-279 (1964).

Wilson, W. P., and W.W.K. Zung, "Attention, Discrimination, and Arousal During Sleep," <u>Arch. gen. Psychiat.</u>, Vol. 15, pp. 523-528 (1966).

Zimmerman, W. B., "Sleep Mentation and Auditory Awakening Thresholds," <u>Psychophysiology</u>, Vol. 6, pp. 540-549 (1970).

TECH (Please read Instru	INICAL REPORT DATA	mpleting)	
1. HEPORT NO. 2.		3. RECIPIENT'S A	CCESSION NO.
EPA-600/1-77-010 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE MEASURES OF NOISE LEVEL: Their Re Predicting Objective and Subjective Noise During Store	lative Accuracy in e Responses to	s, réport date February 1 6. performing (	977 issuing date
TAUTHORISI		8. PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION REPORT N
DEFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM EL	EMENT NO.
Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, California 94025		164085	RANT NO.
12 SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS		68-01-31	
Office of Health and Ecological Effe	ects - Wash., DC	Final 14. SPONSORING	AGENCY CODE
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460	/	EPA/600/1	18
human sleep indicates that no sleep (correlation coefficients of about frequency-weighted spectrum and the FPNdB, and SENEL are better predict.	disruption can be 0.80) if the noise duration of the n ors than a unit cu	predicted wi descriptor a oise. Units ch as maximum	th good accuracy accounts for the such as EdBA,
human sleep indicates that no sleep (correlation coefficients of about frequency-weighted spectrum and the EPNdB, and SENEL are better predict no sleep disruption can be predicted awakening responses. Some evidence suggests that question contain items dealing with the subject sense of the general quality of his to fall asleep. Scores on these ite Quality measure. Although the amoun Quality is correlated highly (about units of EPNdB or EdBA are used to a the total nighttime noise environment with respect to their ability to pre-	disruption can be 0.80) if the noise duration of the n ors than a unit su d more accurately nnaires about subj ect's (a) sense of sleep, and (c) es ems can be summer nt of evidence is 0.90) with Compos calculate CNR. Ot nt, such as Leo an edict Composite SI	predicted wi descriptor a oise. Units ch as maximum than arousal ective sleep well being o timates of ho to develon a limited, such ite Noise Rat her technique d NNI, have s eep Quality.	th good accuracy accounts for the such as EdBA, dBA. Furthermore or behavioral quality should n arising, (b) w long it took Composite Sleep ing (CNR) when s for calculating ome shortcomings
human sleep indicates that no sleep (correlation coefficients of about frequency-weighted spectrum and the EPNdB, and SENEL are better predict no sleep disruption can be predicted awakening responses. Some evidence suggests that question contain items dealing with the subju- sense of the general quality of his to fall asleep. Scores on these ite Quality measure. Although the amoun Quality is correlated highly (about units of EPNdB or EdBA are used to a the total nighttime noise environmen with respect to their ability to pre-	disruption can be 0.80) if the noise duration of the n ors than a unit su d more accurately nnaires about subj ect's (a) sense of sleep, and (c) es ems can be summer nt of evidence is 0.90) with Compos calculate CNR. Ot nt, such as Leo an edict Composite SI	predicted wi descriptor a oise. Units ch as maximum than arousal ective sleep well being o timates of hor to develon a limited, such ite Noise Rat her technique d NNI, have s eep Quality.	th good accuracy eccounts for the such as EdBA, dBA. Furthermore or behavioral quality should n arising, (b) w long it took Composite Sleep Composite Sleep ing (CNR) when s for calculating ome shortcomings
human sleep indicates that no sleep (correlation coefficients of about frequency-weighted spectrum and the EPNdB, and SENEL are better predict no sleep disruption can be predicte awakening responses. Some evidence suggests that question contain items dealing with the subju- sense of the general quality of his to fall asleep. Scores on these ite Muality measure. Although the amoun Quality is correlated highly (about units of EPNdB or EdBA are used to a the total nighttime noise environmen with respect to their ability to pre- DESCRIPTORS	disruption can be 0.80) if the noise duration of the noise duration of the noise duration of the noise duration of the noise or than a unit su d more accurately nnaires about subj ect's (a) sense of sleep, and (c) es ems can be summer nt of evidence is 0.90) with Composite calculate CNR. Ot nt, such as Leo an edict Composite Slave b.IDENTIFIERS/OF	predicted wi descriptor a oise. Units ch as maximum than arousal ective sleep well being o timates of hor to develon a limited, such ite Noise Rat her technique d NNI, have so eep Quality.	th good accuracy eccounts for the such as EdBA, o dBA. Furthermore or behavioral quality should n arising, (b) w long it took Composite Sleep ing (CNR) when s for calculating ome shortcomings
human sleep indicates that no sleep (correlation coefficients of about frequency-weighted spectrum and the EPNdB, and SENEL are better predict no sleep disruption can be predicte awakening responses. Some evidence suggests that question contain items dealing with the subj sense of the general quality of his to fall asleep. Scores on these ite Muality measure. Although the amoun Quality is correlated highly (about units of EPNdB or EdBA are used to a the total nighttime noise environmen with respect to their ability to pre- DESCRIPTORS Noise (sound) Behavior Sleep (Arousal)	disruption can be 0.80) if the noise duration of the n ors than a unit su d more accurately nnaires about subj ect's (a) sense of sleep, and (c) es ems can be summer nt of evidence is 0.90) with Compos calculate CNR. Ot nt, such as Leo an edict Composite SI b.IDENTIFIERS/OF	predicted wi descriptor a oise. (Inits ch as maximum than arousa) ective sleep well being o timates of ho to develop a limited, such ite Noise Rat her technique d NNI, have s eep Quality.	th good accuracy eccounts for the such as EdBA, o dBA. Furthermore or behavioral quality should n arising, (b) w long it took Composite Sleep ing (CNR) when s for calculating ome shortcomings 20A 05E 06C
human sleep indicates that no sleep (correlation coefficients of about frequency-weighted spectrum and the EPNdB, and SENEL are better predict no sleep disruption can be predicte awakening responses. Some evidence suggests that question contain items dealing with the subju- sense of the general quality of his to fall asleep. Scores on these it Quality measure. Although the amoun Quality is correlated highly (about units of EPNdB or EdBA are used to a the total nighttime noise environmen- with respect to their ability to pre- DESCRIPTORS Noise (sound) Behavior Sleep (Arousal)	disruption can be 0.80) if the noise duration of the noise duration of the noise duration of the noise ors than a unit su d more accurately nnaires about subj ect's (a) sense of sleep, and (c) es ems can be summer nt of evidence is 0.90) with Compos calculate CNR. Ot nt, such as Leo an edict Composite Sl b.IDENTIFIERS/OF b.IDENTIFIERS/OF	predicted wi descriptor a oise. Units ch as maximum than arousal ective sleep well being o timates of hor to develon a limited, such ite Noise Rat her technique d NNI, have si eep Quality.	th good accuracy such as EdBA, o dBA. Furthermore or behavioral quality should n arising, (b) w long it took Composite Sleep ing (CNR) when s for calculating ome shortcomings c. cosati Field/Group 20A 05E 06C

1

Į

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY EPA-335



Special Fourth-Class Rate Book

If your address is incorrect, please change on the above label; tear off; and return to the above address. If you do not desire to continue receiving this technical report

series, CHECK HERE ; tear off label, and return it to the above address.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Office of Research and Development Technical Information Staff Cincinnali, Ohio 45268

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300 AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



.

#### na daga sa katalan 1997 - Angelan Santara 1998 - Angelan Santara